

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/942,334	08/28/2001	Y. Denis Yerlikaya	20518/14	7702	
:	7590 07/23/2003				
Mark S. Leonardo, Esq. Brown Rudnick Freed & Gesmer, P.C. One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111			EXAMI	· EXAMINER	
			JAGAN, MIRELLYS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2859	10	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/942,334	YERLIKAYA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mirellys Jagan	2859	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 7/11/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	Diago Gutierrez
	Der
10.	Other:
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
5. 🖾	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	NOTE:
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
, ,) \(\square \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
•) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
` '	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amondment(s) will not be entered because:
have be 37 CFR (b) abo\	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ten filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under to 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no
_	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
Exam	tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Diego Gutierrez

Application No.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's arguments that the Paull reference fails to teach a module capable of storing a probe and having a chamber housing a supply of disposable proobe covers attached thereto are not persuasive since Paull discloses a temperature measuring module (10) capable of storing a probe (18) and having a chamber housing (24) storing a supply of disposeable probe covers (30) attached thereto (see figure 1). Furthermore, applicant's arguments that Paull fails to teaches the present invention according to the instant specification because he teaches a temperature probe that shares a common source of probe covers are not persuasive since the probe (161) disclosed by applicant also shares a common source of probe covers (184).